SOLICITOR

S AO 120 (Rev. 2/99)

TO: Mail Stop 8 Director of the U.S. P.C	Patent & Trademark D. Box 1450 ia, VA 22313-1450	OCT 1 Office PATENT & TRA	FILING OR FILING OR DEMARK OASCITION RE	EPORT ON DETERMIN GARDING TRADEMA	THE IATION OF AN A PATENT OR RK
•	•	-	6 you are hereby advised th		
	strict Court <u>Northern D</u>			X Patents or	☐ Trademarks:
DOCKET NO.	DATE FILED	U.S. DI	STRICT COURT		
CV 07-05246 JL PLAINTIFF	10/15/07		Northern District of C DEFENDANT	California, San Fi	ancisco Division
BECKMAN COULTE	R INC		HEMOSENSE INC		·
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK		HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK		
5,418,141					
2					
3				•	
1					
5					
In the above	e—entitled case, the follow	ving patent(s) ha		ross Bill	☐ Other Pleading
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK		HOLDER OF P.	RADEMARK	
I					
2					
3				•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
4					
5					
	<u>. </u>	L			
In the above	e—entitled case, the follow	ving decision ha	s been rendered or judgeme	ent issued:	•
DECISION/JUDGEMENT		<u> </u>			
CLERK		(BY) DEPUTY	CLERK		DATE
Richard W. Wieking		Gloria Acevedo			October 16, 2007

Copy 1—Upon initiation of action, mail this copy to Commissioner Copy 3—Upon termination of action, mail this copy to Commissioner Copy 2—Upon filing document adding patent(s), mail this copy to Commissioner Copy 4—Case file copy

ì

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

SOLICITOR

OCT 19 2007

U.S. PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

DAVID C. DOYLE (CA SBN 70690)

PENG CHEN (CA SBN 205718)

KATHERINE L. PARKER (CA SBN 222629)

DDoyle@mofo.com 3 PChen@mofo.com

KParker@mofo.com

MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 12531 High Bluff Drive

Suite 100

San Diego, California 92130-2040

Telephone: 858.720.5100 Facsimile: 858.720.5125

Attorneys for Plaintiff

BECKMAN COULTER, INC.

E-filing

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

BECKMAN COULTER, INC.,

Plaintiff,

٧.

HEMOSENSE, INC.,

Defendant.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

THE PARTIES

- Plaintiff Beckman Coulter, Inc. ("Beckman") is a company duly formed and 1. existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, having its principal place of business at 4300 N. Harbor Boulevard, Fullerton, California.
- On information and belief, defendant Hemosense, Inc. ("Hemosense") is a 2. corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, having its principal place of business at 651 River Oaks Parkway, San Jose, California.

27

28

sd-396409

5246

13 14

15 16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. This is an action arising under the patent laws of the United States, Title 35 of the United States Code, Section 271. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).
- 4. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Hemosense because it has its corporate headquarters in California and has committed acts of patent infringement in California.
- 5. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(c) and 1400(b) because Hemosense's contacts with California are sufficient to render it amenable to personal jurisdiction in this district and Hemosense has a regular and established place of business in this district.

CLAIM FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

- 6. Beckman realleges and incorporates herein the allegations of paragraphs 1 through 5 inclusive as if fully set forth herein.
- 7. On May 23, 1995, the United States Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO") duly and legally issued U.S. Patent No. 5,418,141 entitled "Test Articles for Performing Dry Reagent Prothrombin Time Assays" (the "'141 patent"). A true and correct copy of the '141 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A. Through assignment, Beckman is the owner of all right, title, and interest in the '141 patent, including all rights to pursue and collect damages for past infringements of the patent.
- 8. Hemosense has been infringing the '141 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271 by making, using, and/or selling or offering for sale products embodying the patented invention in the United States, including but not limited to INRatio systems, monitors and/or test strips.
- 9. Upon information and belief, Hemosense will continue to infringe the '141 patent unless enjoined by this Court.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
- /

10. Hemosense's past and continued infringement of the '141 patent is willful and deliberate, rendering this case appropriate for treble damages under 35 U.S.C. § 284 and making this an exceptional case under 35 U.S.C. § 285.

- 11. As a result of Hemosense's infringement, Beckman has suffered and will suffer damages.
- 12. Beckman is entitled to recover from Hemosense the damages sustained by Beckman as a result of Hemosense's wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Beckman prays for the following relief:

- A. A judgment by the Court that Hemosense is infringing the '141 patent;
- B. A permanent injunction pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 283 that enjoins Hemosense and its agents, servants, employees, successors, and assigns, and all persons acting under the authority of, or in privity or concert with Hemosense from directly or indirectly infringing, or contributing to or inducing the infringement of the '141 patent;
- C. An award of damages that Hemosense be ordered to account for and pay to Beckman for the infringement of the '141 patent;
- D. That such damages be trebled for the willful, deliberate, and intentional infringement by Hemosense as alleged herein in accordance with 35 U.S.C. § 284;
 - E. That Beckman be awarded interest on the damages so computed;
- F. An award of costs and attorneys' fees pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 285 or as otherwise permitted by law; and
- G. For such other and further relief that Beckman may be entitled to as a matter of law or that the Court may deem just and equitable under the circumstances.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Beckman hereby demands a trial by jury pursuant to Rule 38 of the Rules of Civil

Procedure.

Dated: October 12, 2007

DAVID C. DOYLE PENG CHEN KATHERINE L. PARKER MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP

By:

David C. Doyle

Attorneys for Plaintiff BECKMAN COULTER, INC.